

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

August 20, 2004

IN RE:)	
)	
PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE MUTUAL)	DOCKET NO.
TRAFFIC EXCHANGE INTERIM)	04-00156
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN)	
CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
COMPANY OF THE VOLUNTEER STATE, LLC)	
D/B/A FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS OF THE)	
VOLUNTEER STATE AND ITC^DELTACOM)	
COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND BUSINESS)	
TELECOM, INC.)	

**ORDER APPROVING MUTUAL TRAFFIC
EXCHANGE INTERIM INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT**

This matter came before Chairman Pat Miller, Director Deborah Taylor Tate and Director Sara Kyle of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority" or "TRA"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on July 26, 2004, to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of the mutual traffic exchange interim interconnection agreement negotiated between Citizens Telecommunications Company of the Volunteer State, LLC d/b/a Frontier Communications of the Volunteer State and ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. and Business Telecom, Inc. (collectively "ITCD"), filed on May 20, 2004.

Based upon a review of the agreement, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:

1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

2) The agreement is in the public interest as it provides consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the service area⁴⁵² of Citizens Telecommunications Company of the Volunteer State, LLC d/b/a Frontier Communications of the Volunteer State.

3) The agreement is not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.

4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it “discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement” or if the implementation of the agreement “is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.” Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d).¹ Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement is consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.

5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.

6) The agreement is reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:


The Petition is granted, and the mutual traffic exchange interim interconnection agreement negotiated between Citizens Telecommunications Company of the Volunteer State, LLC d/b/a Frontier Communications of the Volunteer State and ITC^DeltaCom

¹ See 47 U S C § 252(e)(2)(B)

Communications, Inc. and Business Telecom, Inc. (collectively "ITCD") is approved and is subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pat Miller", written over a horizontal line.

Pat Miller, Chairman

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Deborah Taylor Tate", written over a horizontal line.

Deborah Taylor Tate, Director

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sara Kyle", written over a horizontal line.

Sara Kyle, Director